# Preliminary Regional Sediment Budget

### Rob Sloop, PE Moffatt & Nichol



US Army Corps of Engineers<sub>®</sub>



# D2P Preliminary Regional Sediment Budget

- Littoral Cells in Study Area
- Methodology
- Sediment Budget by Littoral Cell
- Summary
- Recommendations for Further Study



US Army Corps of Engineers<sub>®</sub> co-sponsored by:

State of Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources



### Littoral Cells in Study Area





US Army Corps of Engineers® co-sponsored by:

State of Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resource



### Methodology Sources, Sinks, and Pathways Sand sources

### Released by beach erosion: UH erosion hazard maps

- Use 0.44 CY sand per SF of beach: UH/USGS beach profiles
- Beach nourishment: Historical records for Waikiki, Ala Moana
- Reef production: Process and volume poorly understood, estimated from reef area

#### Sand sinks

- Offshore losses balance sediment budget
- Smaller losses: sea level rise, beach rock formation, etc.



co-sponsored by:

State of Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources



US Army Corps of Engineers<sub>®</sub>

# Methodology Sources, Sinks, and Pathways

#### Sand Pathways

- Modeling by USACE at ERDC, POH
- Earlier studies

#### Factors not included

- Seasonal effects: small based on profiles
- Explicit modeling of transport rates: vastly overpredict rates for the sediment-starved beaches in the D2P region



US Army Corps of Engineers<sub>®</sub>



State of Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources

co-sponsored by:

## Sediment Budget by Littoral Cell



US Army Corps of Engineers<sub>®</sub>





#### **Diamond Head**

-400 cy/yr

100

- Slightly erosional
- Possible small offshore production

200



### Waikiki

- Highly urbanized and modernized beach
- Multiple littoral sub-cells can be identified
- Present analysis includes 3 sub-cells
  - South of Natatorium
  - Central Waikiki
  - Halekulani Fort DeRussy



US Army Corps of Engineers<sub>®</sub>



State of Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources US Army Corps of Engineers, Honolulu District

co-sponsored by:

### Waikiki

#### Earlier beach growth due to nourishment reversed in recent years



US Army Corps of Engineers®



### Waikiki – 1965 to 1985



### Waikiki - 1985 to 2005

1600 CH141

- Generally erosional
- 'Ewa side of Fort DeRussy Beach still wide

-1,800 cv/vr

### Ala Moana – 1965 to 1985



### Ala Moana – 1985 to 2005



### Sand Island



### **Reef Runway**



Little sand or movement

### **Iroquois Point**

1,600 cy/y

1.700

-3,800 cylyr

- Slightly accretional near 'Ewa Beach
  - Transport from west and/or reef production

1,200 cy/yr

- Very erosional at Keahi Point
- Accretional area within Pearl Harbor

50



#### Diamond Head

- Slightly erosional, generally narrow beaches

#### Waikiki and Ala Moana

- Accretional before 1985 due to beach nourishment
- Now erosional
- Significant cross-shore transport



US Army Corps of Engineers<sub>®</sub>





#### Sand Island and Reef Runway

- Little sand (particularly at Reef Runway)
- Low transport rates

#### Iroquois Point

- Combination of erosional and accretional areas
- Extent of reef production unclear



US Army Corps of Engineers<sub>®</sub>



# Recommendations for Further Study

#### • All cells

- Continue routine aerial photography
- Analyze potential climate change effects in detail

#### Diamond Head to Ala Moana

- Investigate sediment transport pathways
- Cross-shore transport and circulation poorly understood
- Field investigations recommended



US Army Corps of Engineers<sub>®</sub>



# Recommendations for Further Study

#### • Iroquois Point

 Investigate modern reef productivity: radiocarbon dating of beach sand

#### • Honolulu Harbor, Pearl Harbor

- Sand sampling within harbors
- Supplements sand circulation studies
- May identify sand sources



US Army Corps of Engineers®



# THANK YOU



US Army Corps of Engineers®

